BINUS INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITAS BINA NUSANTARA

Information Systems Major
Sarjana Komputer Thesis

Semester Even Year 2006/2007

ANALYSIS OF THE COLLABORATIVE CRM OF THE THREE COMPANIES: COMPANY A, COMPANY B, AND COMPANY C

Geeta Arjan Vasandani 0700733532

Abstract

There has been fierce competition in the telecommunications business in Jakarta, Indonesia between the GSM operators – Company A, Company B, and Company C. Each operator is trying very hard to attract customers, as well as retain customers. To do this, a Customer Relationship Mana gement (CRM) System, specifically a collaborative CRM is needed. This is a system where people, data and all the process are integrated in order to serve the customers better. One of the channels through which the customers interact with the system is through the Call Center. This study compared three of the leaders of the telecommunications business. They were compared according to certain criteria. A survey was conducted and the customers were interviewed about customer service as well as other areas. A conclusion was made as to which operator serves the customers best, as well as recommendations as to how to improve the existing systems, as well as how to serve the customers better. According to the survey, the best among the three was Excelcomindo.

Keywords

Collaborative CRM, GSM, customer satisfaction, call center

PREFACE

First of all, I would like to thank the Father in the Heavens above for blessing me and giving me a chance to live this wonderful life. In addition, I would also like to thank my family who has been a constant support for me. Also, I would like to thank my Thesis Supervisor, who has always been there anytime I needed to ask questions, and has also guided me throughout this thesis.

I can't exclude all the lecturers who not only taught me the lessons in class, but also about life and the working world. I can't forget all the friends who have helped me get through the years I spent at this university. Together we had our share of laughter and tears, and also late nights studying for exams and tests, and doing our assignments. I don't think I would have been able to enjoy so much without them.

I hope you enjoy reading it and gain some knowledge from it. I am open to any comments that anyone would like to share.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
PREFACE	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	
1.2. Scope	2
1.3 Aim's and Benefits	2
1.4 Structure.	3
CHAPTER 2 - THEORETICAL FOUNDATION	4
2.1 GSM	4
2.2 CRM (Customer Relationship Management)	7
2.3 Call Centers.	10
CHAPTER 3 – BACKGROUND	11
3.1 Company A	11
3.1.1 Introduction.	
3.1.2 Vision and Mission.	11
3.1.3 Ownership.	11
3.1.4 Product Mix	11
3.1.5 Coverage	12
3.1.6 CRM System.	12
3.2 Company B	16
3.2.1 Introduction.	16
3.2.2 Vision and Mission	16
3.2.3 Ownership	17
3.2.4 Product Mix	17

3.2.5 Coverage	18
3.2.6 CRM System.	18
3.3 Company C.	. 20
3.3.1 Introduction.	20
3.2.2 Vision and Mission.	. 20
3.3.3 Ownership.	. 20
3.3.4 Product Mix	. 22
3.3.5 Coverage	. 22
3.3.6 CRM System.	22
CHAPTER 4 - ANALYSIS OF THE CURRENT SYSTEM	.23
4.1 Research Methodology	. 23
4.2 Research Results - Overall.	24
4.2.1 Product and Length of use of Product.	24
4.2.2 Gender, Age, and location of home.	. 25
4.2.3 Education, Occupation, and Income of Respondents	. 26
4.2.4 Telephone Brand and if it has 3G feature	. 27
4.3 Company A	. 29
4.3.1 Profiles of the respondents of Company A	. 29
4.3.2 Satisfactory of the respondents of Company A	. 33
4.4 Company B	. 42
4.4.1 Profiles of the respondents of Company B	42
4.4.2 Satisfactory of the respondents of Company B	. 44
4.5 Company C	. 52
4.5.1 Profiles of the respondents of Company C	. 52
4.5.2 Satisfactory of the respondents of Company C	55
CHAPTER 5 - ANALYSIS OF THE CRM SYSTEMS	65
5.1 Analysis of Company A	65
5.2 Analysis of Company C	65
5.3 Analysis of Company B	66
5.4 Analysis of all three companies	66
5.5.1 Current Strategies	. 68

5.5.2	Recommendation on future strategies	69
CHAI	PTER 6 -CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	70
REFE	ERENCE	71
APPE	ENDIX	7 4
CURI	RICULUM VITAE	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The GSM Network System.	.5
Figure 2: GSM Products	.4
Figure 3: Length of use	25
Figure 4: The frequency of the gender of the respondents	:5
Figure 5: The frequency of the age of the respondents	6
Figure 6: Location of houses	6
Figure 7: Education Level	6
Figure 8: Cell phone Brand	7
Figure 9: If cell phone has 3G features	7
Figure 10 : Satisfactory level in terms of service of the talk service of Company A32	2
Figure 11: Satisfactory level of if the SMS always reaches, and if the SMS reaches fast	
of Company A	2
Figure 12: Results of the question if it was easy to connect to the Call Center of	
Company A	3
Figure 13: Response for if it was easy to understand the System of Company A3	3
Figure 14: Friendliness of the operators of Company A	4
Figure 15: How helpful the operators at Company A	
were	4
Figure 16: Response for if the respondents of Company A liked the	
website	5
Figure 17: Response for why they liked the	
website	5
Figure 18: Response to why they didn't like the	
website3	6
Figure 19: Response to which of the value-added services they had ever used3	6
Figure 20: If respondents were satisfied with the VAS services	7
Figure 21: What respondents thought about the prices of VAS	7
Figure 22: Why respondents chose Company A	8
Figure 23: What they thought about the overall price	8
Figure 24: What they thought about the overall service	39

Figure 25: Satisfactory level in terms of service of the talk service of Company B	43
Figure 26: Satisfactory level of if the SMS always reaches, and if the SMS reaches	fast
of Company B	43
Figure 27: Results of the question if it was easy to connect to the Call Center of	
Company C	44
Figure 28: Response for if it was easy to understand the System of Company C	44
Figure 29: Friendliness of the operators of Company C	45
Figure 30: How helpful the operators at Company C were	45
Figure 31: Response for if the respondents of Company C liked the website	46
Figure 32: Response for why they liked the website	46
Figure 29: Friendliness of the operators of Company C	47
Figure 30: How helpful the operators at Company C were	47
Figure 31: Response for if the respondents of Company C liked the website	48
Figure 32: Response for why they liked the website	48
Figure 37: Why respondents chose Company C.	49
Figure 38: What they thought about the overall price.	49
Figure 39: What they thought about the overall service	50
Figure 40: Satisfactory level in terms of service of the talk service of Company B	54
Figure 41: Satisfactory level of if the SMS always reaches, and if the SMS reaches	fast
for Company B	54
Figure 42: Results of the question if it was easy to connect to the Call Center of	
Company B	55
Figure 43: Response for if it was easy to understand the System of Company B	55
Figure 44: Friendliness of the operators of Company B	56
Figure 45: How helpful the operators at Company B were	56
Figure 46: Response for if the respondents of Company B liked the website	57
Figure 47: Response for why they liked the website	57
Figure 48: Response to why they didn't like the website	58
Figure 49: Response to which of the value-added services they had ever used	58
Figure 50: If respondents were satisfied with the VAS services	59
Figure 51: What respondents thought about the prices of VAS	59

Figure	52:	Why respondents chose Company B	.60
Figure	53:	What they thought about the overall price	60
Figure	54:	What they thought about the overall service	61

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Profiles of respondents of Company A(1)	30
Table 2: Profile of the respondents of Company A (2)	31
Table 3: Profile of the Company C respondents(1)	41
Table 4: Profile of the Company C respondents (2)	42
Table 5: Profile of the Company B respondents (1)	52
Table 6: Profile of the Company B respondents(2)	53
Table 7: Comparison of all three operators	62
Table 8: Call Center Service Excellence Index for all three Company A, Company C,	
and Company B	65
Table 9: The results from the survey regarding the call center	66
Table 10: The age profile of all three operators	66
Table 11: This table shows the competing products on each of the segments	67
Table 12: Table showing the customer satisfaction in relations to VAS prices	68